# SUPREME COURT MINUTES MONDAY, APRIL 4, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

**S191854** F062031 Fifth Appellate District

DODD (EMMETT E.) v. S.C.

(PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S191860 CROCKETT (MICHAEL D.) v.

STATE BAR COURT OF CALIFORNIA (OFFICE OF

THE CHIEF TRIAL

COUNSEL)

Petition for writ of mandate/prohibition & application for stay denied

S052374

PEOPLE v. BROWN (STEVEN ALLEN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Emry J. Allen's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by August 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

S057156

PEOPLE v. CASE (CHARLES EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Robin Kallman's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 11, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to April 11, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S076340

PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (LAM THANH)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Richard C. Neuhoff's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by the end of March 2012, counsel's request for an

extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 27, 2011. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 300 additional days are contemplated.

S080054

PEOPLE v. LINTON (DANIEL ANDREW)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane E. Berley's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by June 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 23, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 10 additional days is contemplated.

S092356

**BOYETTE (MAURICE) ON H.C.** 

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Lynne S. Coffin's representation that she anticipates filing the petitioner's exceptions to the referee's report and brief on the merits by April 4, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to April 5, 2011. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S095868

PEOPLE v. DANIELS (DAVID SCOTT)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Gail R. Weinheimer's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by June 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S115378

PEOPLE v. WOODRUFF (STEVE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Dennis C. Cusick's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by September 1, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S118147

PEOPLE v. MIRANDA-GUERRERO (VICTOR M.)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Assistant State Public Defender Denise Kendall's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by April 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 31, 2011. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 330 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S128402

PEOPLE v. DONALDSON (JASARI LATIFUL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by January 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to June 3, 2011. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 210 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S185781

GOTTSCHALK ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time denied – RONALD NORTON GOTTSCHALK

The motion to vacate this court's order of March 4, 2011, and for stay of proceedings in this case filed on March 30, 2011, by petitioner is deemed the third request for extension of time and is hereby denied.

S185827

C062306 Third Appellate District

KIRBY (ANTHONY) v. IMMOOS FIRE PROTECTION, INC.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to May 10, 2011.

S185870

DORSETT (PHILLIP) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to April 29, 2011.

### S187076

#### BARRY ON DISCIPLINE

Extension of time granted – PATRICIA JOAN BARRY

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file petitioner's reply to State Bar's memorandum response in opposition to the petition for review is hereby extended to April 4, 2011. No further extensions will be granted.

S190217

BLAIR (JAMES NELSON) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Federal Public Defender C. Pamela Gomez's representation that she anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by May 4, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to May 4, 2011. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S190770 B227096 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 MOORE (ROOSEVELT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer is extended to April 11, 2011.

S190880 B213416 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 ALTWIJI (ABBOTT) v. ZDENEK (GENE W.)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to answer to petition for review is extended to April 15, 2011.

S189476 PERRY (KRISTIN M.)/(CITY & COUNTY OF SAN

FRANCISCO) v. BROWN, JR.,

(EDMUND G.)/

(HOLLINGSWORTH)

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of David Boies and Matthew D. McGill for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of Kristin M. Perry, Sandra B. Stier, Paul T. Katami, and Jeffrey J. Zarrillo, is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S189733 A123957 First Appellate District, Div. 2

PEOPLE v. CORNETT (MICHAEL DAVID)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Ozro William Childs is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

Appellant's brief on the merits must be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date respondent's opening brief on the merits is filed.

## S153990

## WILLIAMS ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

The order filed on March 24, 2010, is hereby amended to read in its entirety:

The petition for writ of review is denied.

The court orders that MARK STEVEN WILLIAMS, State Bar No. 177754, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MARK STEVEN WILLIAMS is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation;
- 2. MARK STEVEN WILLIAMS must comply with all other conditions of probation recommended by the Review Department of the State Bar Court in its Opinion filed on April 30, 2007.

The period of suspension and conditions of probation will run concurrently with the period of suspension and conditions of probation previously imposed by the court's November 21, 2008, order filed in *In re Mark Steven Williams on Discipline*, case number S166857.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S191181

CRITTENDEN (JAMES) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S191592

WESTIN (BRUCE) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

# S191832

WILLIAMSON (ALVARO) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.